IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2005

SHRI LILADHAR YESHWANT SAIL,KARWAR,KARNATAKA. ....Applicant

Versus

DIRECTOR OF POLICE WIRELESS AND 3 ORS., ...Respondents

The applicant in person.

Mr. S. R. Rivonkar, Government Advocate for the respondents.

Coram:- P.V. KAKADE, J.

Date:- 20th September, 2006

P.C.

Heard the applicant in person, as well as the Advocate for the respondents.

2. This is an application for condonation of delay which actually works out to 77 days though it is not so mentioned.

3. The applicant has submitted that the impugned Decree was passed on 8.11.2004, the certified copy was applied on 9.11.2004 which was obtained on 1.12.2004 and thereafter, the civil revision application against the said order came to be filed within the period of limitation. However, during the hearing of the revision application for admission, the applicant was advised that the application for revision was not maintainable and, therefore, he was given liberty to file the second appeal and hence there was delay.

4. In view of these aspects, I am satisfied that there is sufficient ground to condone the delay. Hence, the application is allowed in terms

of the prayer made therein. The delay is condoned and the application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

The appeal to come up for admission after four weeks.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

ssm.